, KENTOOKI II	ISTORIC RESOURCES INVE	
1. Historic Name(s) W. W., Logan House	se	N.Kv/Trimble
Original Owner W. W. Logan		23. U.S.G.S. Quadrant (15'/75') G.I.S. Moc
2. Present Name		Bedford
	Car	24. UTM Reference 1 1, 6   6, 4, 8, 1, 8, 0   [4, 2, 6, 6, 8, 2, 0]
3. Location Sulphur-Bedford Pike		Zone Easting Northing
4. Owner's Name		25. Coordinate Accuracy
James H. Logan		
5. Owner's Address		26. Prehistoric Site Object Historic Site Structure
Route 3, Bedford, Ke 6. Open to Public 7, Visible from road	8. Ownership	Historic Site Structure Building Other
Yes No _X Yes _X _ No	Private X	27. National Register District Name:
9. Local Contact/Organization	Local	Historic Resources of
	State	Trimble County
	Federal	28. Significance Evaluation
O. Site Plan with North Arrow	11. Architect	N.A.
	12. Builder	29. Status  National Landmark Date
	Ford	National Register
	13. Date 1913 A. l. B. L.	Landmark Certificate  Kentucky Survey
	14. Style	Local Landmark
	A. Vernacular	HABS/HAER
	В. Ц	30. Historic Theme Primary Architecture C
	15. Original Use	Primary Architecture C
	Dwelling LA	Other
	16. Present use	31. Endangered Yes
	17. Condition	No X
Excellent		32. Preservation Project Status
8. Description Situated on a slight rise	No. Stories 2  C	Actual Cost in \$1,000s
overlooking the Little Kentucky	Single Pile	1
River, the Logan house is a	Double Pile _x	33. ATTACH PHOTO
two-story, three bay, center	Floor Plan	and the latest and th
passage frame structure. A pne-story porch with dentilled	Central passage Structural Fabric	
cornice and supported by nine	Frame A. D B.	
Ionic Order columns covers two	Decorative Fabric	
facade bays and extends around the north side of the dwelling.	A. L.	
All bays have architrave	В. Ц	
rim. (over)	Roof Form	
9. History The house tree constitutes	Hip	1
The house was construction from Louisville, who	Roll No1  Picture No12-33	
tyle houses in the Crescent Hi	Picture No. 12-33	
Note to be the desired of the Artist of the		34. Prepared by:
	Wm. Gus Johnson	
20. Significance Architecture: The Logan House is one of the		Senior Historian
lew examples of early 20th cent		35. Organization
a well-designed and well preserved example.		Kentucky Heritage Council  36. Date August 1982
1. Source of Information		37. Revision Dates 38. Staff Review
James H. Logan - son of W. W. Lo	ngan who huilt the	And the state of t
	Sau, who bulle the	
house.		1

(

18. The second floor facade is enhanced by a bay window placement. One-pane sidelights compliment the main entrance. The hip roof is pierced by a four window dormer on the facade as well as a four window dormer on the north side. A two-story porch is on the south side of the dwelling. The house rests on a limestone foundation, and has interior chimneys. The interior features architrave trim for the front rooms, while the woodwork of the rear rooms consist of fluted trim with bull's eye corner blocks. An open two-run stairway with turned balusters and light sawn brackets leads to the upper level. The house was constructed of oak lumber at a cost of less than \$5,000.

### KENTUCKY HISTORIC RESOURCE INVENTORY SUPPLEMENT BOUNDARY AND LOCATION INFORMATION

	an House Site # Tm-2 on Title <u>Historic Resources of Trimble County</u>
J.T.M. Coordinates - List multiple N	UTMs if property is ten or more acres.
A. 16 648180 4266820	D
В	E
G	
Verbal Boundary Description	Acreage3
westward direction along the Bedford is drawn to include the house and d	d-Sulphur to the farm lane. The boundary ependencies.
	,
	1
Ī	
	ldings, structures, landscape features oundary as described above.) \
1 Carriage	
House O	Shed
1	
Farm	
1.11.11	barn
1.	1
al /! [ ]	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Beat   Went H.	Y
1 1/2 /	7
1 2	Acposed
Neal H. Neal H. Rd.	2-
1 2	
L	Not to scale

Official Courthouse Property Reference:

Little Kentucky River

ted States Department of the Interior onal Park Service

clarity

Itarations / intentity

Logan, W.W., House (Trimble County MRA)
Trimble County
KENTUCKY

Substantive Review

Fed. R  Date  Action  resubmission  nomination by person or local government  owner objection  special  stantive Review:  sample request appeal  iewer's comments:  the century architecture has not been justified (ordiscussed) as an Review  RA. In (see comment on return sheet for main core form).  Recommend in when resubmitted justifies early sotheent arch as an Review  iquif for the MRA, please return this nomination  Replanation d why this is a good example of its Style  Date—	RETURN 7/ REJECT
resubmission nomination by person or local government owner objection appeal stantive Review: sample request appeal lewer's comments: the century auditecture has not been justified (ordiscussed) as an factory auditecture has not been justified (ordiscussed) as an factory auditecture has not been justified (ordiscussed) as an factory auditecture has not been justified (ordiscussed) as an factory auditecture has not been justified (ordiscussed) as an factory auditecture has not been justified (ordiscussed) as an factory auditecture form under the factory auditecture form under the factory auditecture for the factory auditecture for auditecture for auditecture for the factory auditecture for audi	ACCEPT RETURN 1/ REJECT I Agency:  NR decision  Outland of Significant The main Criteria Refunder Internation  Nistorian 7/21/83
resubmission nomination by person or local government owner objection appeal stantive Review: sample request appeal stantive Review Recommend for the sample of continuous as an Review signiff for the ARA, please return this nomination stantive reasons discussed below and in the ARA also sel commenden reverse side in individually eligible within the context of all early to anch in the ARA also sel commenden reverse sinistion returned for: substantive reasons discussed below  Assubstantive reasons discussed below  Representation in Existing Surveys	ACCEPT RETURN 1/ REJECT I Agency:  NR decision  Outed of Significant The main Perfurite Refundant Ine Historian 7/21/83
resubmission nomination by person or local government owner objection appeal stantive Review:samplerequestappeal	ACCEPT RETURN 1/ REJECT  I Agency:  NR decision  Onea of significant 26 the main /Criteria Return er fatisk Andres Ine Historian 7/21/83
resubmission nomination by person or local government owner objection appeal stantive Review:	RETURN 7/ REJECT_ I Agency:  NR decision  onea of significant 26 the main /Criteria Return er fatisk Andus Ine Historian 7/21/83
nomination by person or local government  owner objection  appeal stantive Review:	REJECT_ I Agency:  NR decision  Onea of Significant  If the main  /Criteria Refun  er Patrik Andrus  Ine Nistorian  7/21/83
stantive Review:	NR decision  Onea of significant 26 the main /Criteria Refun er Patrik Andrus Ine Historian 7/21/83
stantive Review:	NR decision  area of significant  26 the main  /Criteria Refun  er Patrik Andrus  ne Nistorian  7/21/87
stantive Review:	onea of significant 26 the main 1 Criteria Refun er Patrik Andeus 1 Nistorian 7/21/87
continuo achitecture has not been justified (ordiocussed) as an RA. (see comment on return sheet for main core form).  Mecom when resultanted justifies early sothers. auch as an Review ignif. for the MRA, please return this nomination.  Disciply explanation of why this is a good exemple of its style.  This individually eligible within the context of all early.  It is individually eligible within the context of all early.  The arch in the MRA - also see commencen reverse mination returned for:  Substantive reasons discussed to substantive reasons discussed to the context of the context	7/21/83
Location  Classification  Wy Descript Status Proceed time Public Acquisition Accessible  Owner of Property  Location of Legal Description  Representation in Existing Surveys    total Site property bears described of 1 per 100	
Classification  Procedure Description  Owner of Property  Location of Legal Description  Representation in Existing Surveys  Location of Legal Description	
Owner of Property  Location of Legal Description  Representation in Existing Surveys	
Location of Legal Description  Representation in Existing Surveys	
Location of Legal Description  Representation in Existing Surveys	
Location of Legal Description  Representation in Existing Surveys	
Representation in Existing Surveys	
Representation in Existing Surveys	
less this property been desermined eligible?	
less this property been desermined eligible?	
Description	
Men Check one Check one coderit deteriorated original site	
vod nuns aftered moved date v unexposed	
the the present and original (If known) physical appearance	

0. 3	ignificance		
Period	Areas of Significance—Check and justify below		
Specific	dates Builder Architect		Late of Man Sales Ave.
Statemen	nt of Significance (in one paragraph)		CHARLEST STREET, TAXA
			22000000
	summary paragraph		
	completeness		
	clarity		
	applicable criteria		
	justification of areas checked		
	relating significance to the resource		
	context		
-	relationship of integrity to significance		
-	justification of exception		
	other		
9. M	lajor Bibliographical Reference	S	
Verbal b	but it affects that in a summary description and justification Point would be so how for north	ld be north of the intersection of the intersection is the	Harting foint?
11.	Form Prepared By		
12.	State Historic Preservation Off	icer Certification	
The evalua	ated significance of this property within the state is:		
-	national state local		
State His	toric Preservation Officer signature		
titie	date		
13. (	Other		tion to the state of the state
	Maps		
	Photographs		
	Other		. /
Quest	tions concerning this nomination	may be directed to Vature	h Andeus
	Q+.11	_/ /	
Signe	ed racid Andres	Date 7/2/83 Pho	ne: 202 272 - 3504

KENTUCKY H	ISTORIC RESOURCES INVEN	NTORY Site No.	Tm-2
W. W. Logan House		22. ADD/County  N.Ky/Trimble  23. U.S.G.S. Quadrant (15'/75')	[1 1 2 ]
w. w. Logan		Bedford	
2. Present Name		24. UTM Reference	
3. Location Sulphur-Bedford Pike		1 6 6 4 8 1 8 0 4 Zone Easting	2,6,6,8,2,0 Northing
4. Owner's Name		25. Coordinate Accuracy	1.1
James H. Logan			
5. Owner's Address			Object Structure
Route 3, Bedford, Ke		111010110 0110	Other
6. Open to Public 7. Visible from road	8. Ownership	27. National Register District Name:	
9. Local Contact/Organization	Local State	Historic Resources Trimble County	of
	Federal	28. Significance Evaluation	N P D
10. Site Plan with North Arrow	11. Architect		N.R.
	12. Builder  Ford  13. Date  1913 A. 6 B	29. Status National Landmark National Register Landmark Certificate Kentucky Survey Local Landmark HABS/HAER  30. Historic Theme Primary Architectus	re C
Dwelling 16. Present use 11 17. Condition		Secondary Other 31. Endangered	Yes No _ X
	Excellent A	32. Preservation Project Status	
18. Description Situated on a slight rise overlooking the Little Kentucky River, the Logan house is a two-story, three bay, center passage frame structure. A one-story porch with dentilled cornice and supported by nine Ionic Order columns covers two facade bays and extends around the north side of the dwelling. All bays have architrave trim. (over) (Continue on Back)	No. Stories  2  Single Pile	Actual Cost in \$1,000s  33. ATTACH PHOTO  Roll No. 1	
contractor from Louisville, who style houses in the Crescent Hi  20 Significance Architecture: The Locant example of early twentieth Trimble County. It is one of the	also built similar lls area of Louisville.  gan House is a significentury architecture in	Picture No. 12-33  Direction 34. Prepared by:  Wm. Gus Johnson Senior Historia  35. Organization  Kentucky Heritage	Council
		2208000 2700	ff Review
21. Source of Information	ann rha built tha		
James H. Logan - son of W. W. L	ogan, who built the		

house.

- 18. The second floor facade is enhanced by a bay window placement. One-pane sidelights compliment the main entrance. The hip roof is pierced by a four window dormer on the facade as well as a four window dormer on the north side. A two-story porch is on the south side of the dwelling. The house rests on a limestone foundation, and has interior chimneys. The interior features architrave trim for the front rooms, while the woodwork of the rear rooms consist of fluted trim with bull's eye corner blocks. An open two-run stairway with turned balusters and light sawn brackets leads to the upper level. The house was constructed of oak lumber at a cost of less than \$5,000.
- 20. of the American Foursquare design in the county. In addition, it is also a well designed and well-preserved example of this style and exhibits excellent workmanship in both exterior and interior features.

## KENTUCKY HISTORIC RESOURCE INVENTORY SUPPLEMENT BOUNDARY AND LOCATION INFORMATION

A. 16 648180 4266820	Ms if property is ten or more acres D
В	E
G	_ F
Verbal Boundary Description	Acreage3
vestward direction: thence 130' in a r	tward direction; then 600' in a south-
	1
Sketch of Site Plan (Showing outbuild and the site bout deviage House Showing outbuild	indary as described above.) \
Farm	barn
Deato H	
Went H. Bedford-Sulphur Rd.	
1 2 1	

Official Courthouse Property Reference:

Little Kentucky River

#### **United States Department of the Interior** National Park Service

#### National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only received G/14/83 date entered

Continuation sheet

Item number

Page 2 4-4

Multiple Resource Area Thematic Group

State Trimble County Mu Kentucky	eltiple Resource Area	- Aller	
Nomination/Type of Review			Date/Signature
11. Ginn's Furniture Store	משה של משל משל משל משל מש	Keeper	accept Patrick Andrews 2/21
	Substantive Review	Attest	
12. Hancock House	TRATEM	Keeper	Cum Duhi 4/9/84
		Attest	-
13. House on Kentucky High	way 1492 Substantive Hevi	Keeper	land Inhi 4/9/8
	guna camera nevi	Attest	
14. House on Moffett Cemet	ery Road	for Keeper	accept Litick Andrews ?
	Substantive Review	Attest	'
15. House Tm-B-7	Substantive Review	Keeper	Cun Inhi 4/9/84
	page and the MeAleM	Attest	
16. House Tm-B-25	Substantive Keview	Keeper	Return CD 4/1984
		Attest	1
17. House Tm-M-27	duhetentive Review	Keeper	Curl Inhi 4/9/8
	W 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Attest	2
18. House Tm-M-28	Substantive HevTew	Keeper	Cem Jani 4/9/82
		Attest	. 1
19. Humphrey Place	Substantive HevTew	Keeper Keeper	accept Patrick Andrews 7/2)
		Attest	( )
20. Logan, W. W., House	Substantive Review	Keeper	Cun Duri 4/9/84
		Attest	1, 1

WASO Form - 177 ("R" June 1984)

boundary selection

#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

# NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET FOR THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

	e (Trimble County MRA)	)	
Trimble County KENTUCKY			
KENTUCKI			Working No. 11 1 4 1983
			Fed. Reg. Date: 2,5,85
			Date Due: 5/3/84
\			Action: LACCEPT 4-9-84
X resubmission			RETURN
nomination by person of	r local government		REJECT
owner objection			Federal Agency:
appeal			1
Substantive Review:	sample request	appeal	NR decision
Reviewer's comments: U	vell built exa ptatement	more of Am	Recom./Criteria ACCEPT Reviewer DUBLE Discipline HIST Date 419184
			see continuation sheet
Nomination returned for: _	technical corrections cited substantive reasons discuss		
1. Name			
2. Location			
3. Classification			
Category	Ownership Public Acquisition	Status Accessible	Present Use
4. Owner of Property			
5. Location of Legal Descri	ption		
6. Representation in Existing	ng Surveys		
Has this property been dete	rmined eligible?	□ no	
7. Description			
Condition		Check one	Check one
excellent	deteriorated	unaltered	original site
good	ruins	altered	moved date
fair [	unexposed		
Describe the present and or	iginal (if known) physical appea	rance	
summary paragraph completeness clarity alterations/integrity			
dates			

8. Significance	
Period Areas of Significance—Check and justif	fy below
att to the same of	
Specific dates Builder/Archite	ect
Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)	
summary paragraph	MRA statement of premblence expended -
Completeness	MRA statement of significance expanded -
□ clarity also	stalement for this property.
applicable criteria	
☐ justification of areas checked	
relating significance to the resource	
context	
relationship of integrity to significance	
☐ justification of exception	
other	
9. Major Bibliographical References	
10. Geographical Data	
To. Googlapinosi Data	
Acreage of nominated property	
Quadrangle name	
UTM References	
Verbal boundary description and justification	
11. Form Prepared By	
40. Oct. Illiania December Office Contification	
12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification	
The evaluated significance of this property within	the state is:
national state	local
nationalstate	local
State Historic Preservation Officer signature	
title date	
13. Other	
Maps	
Photographs	
☐ Other	
Questions concerning this nomination may be dire	ected to
Signed	Date Phone:
Signed	Date Fnone:







# Please refer to the map in the Multiple Property Cover Sheet for this property

Multiple Property Cover Sheet Reference Number: 64000257